

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONCIDATATION	
09/977,929	10/15/2001	Sharon L. Book	41482/29227	CONFIRMATION NO. 9089	
	590 11/10/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
THOMPSON ONE US BANI	COBURN, LLP CPLAZA		ANTHONY, JOSEPH DAVID		
SUITE 3500	.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST LOUIS, MO	03101		1714		
			DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ŀ		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/977,929	BOOK ET AL.	nre				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
	The MAUDIO DATE AND	Joseph D. Anthony	1714					
P	The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet $\overline{\mathbf{w}}$	ith the correspondence addre	ess				
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this comn	nunication.				
St	atus							
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Oc</u>	ctober 2004						
	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Di	sposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
	4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-10 and 27-48</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)							
Ar	plication Papers	rand/or election requiren	ient.					
				-				
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
:	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
*	12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
1	chment(s)							
1) L 2) [1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3)	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:)				
U.S. Pai	ent and Trademark Office -326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Actio	on Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20	0041101				

Application/Control Number: 09/977,929

Art Unit: 1714

Page 2

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Election/Restrictions

- I. Claims 1-8 and 19-26, drawn to a brine solution, classified in class 252, subclass 400.2+.
- II. Claims 11-18, drawn to a dry phosphate salt mixture, classified in class 252, subclass 70.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as mutually exclusive inventions in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the inventions are patentably distinct. In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a dry fertilizer component for plants and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/977,929 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was made to Kimberly Lu on 11/04/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Examiner Information

Art Unit: 1714

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Joseph D. Anthony whose telephone number is (571) 272-1117. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The centralized FAX machine number is (703) 872-9306. All other papers received by FAX will be treated as Official communications and cannot be immediately handled by the Examiner.

Joseph D. Anthony Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1714